

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,316	02/15/2007	Sergey Selifonov	16219-005US1	1806
26,101 7550 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER	
			HUANG, GIGI GEORGIANA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/579,316 SELIFONOV, SERGEY Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GIGI HUANG 1612 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/579,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

æ

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-3, 4 (in part)-5 (in part), 6-12, drawn to a composition comprising a base material and a compound having the formula:

wherein R, through R<sub>cc</sub> are such independently selected from H. Roser or branched alloyf, ellected, or ellectionar groups having from I no 6 carbon arouns, or eyelosalloyf or eyelosallosity groups having from 3 to 16 aerbon status.

R<sub>sy</sub> and R<sub>sy</sub> are each independently selected from linear beauchad, or cyclic alloyd, alloward, artis, malkyd, acyl, or onsonryl groups, a fragment of a dibyeric or prohybridic slacehol, a earthodysidate fragment, a fragment of a di-or polycurbourdic acid, a fragment of an assensanid, a fragment of a polypoptide, a polyetizer fragment, a polyetester fragment, or a kylotypen story.

n and m are such independently 6 or 1;

the total number of earbus atoms in the compound is less than 20, and

any one of the dashed house may be single or double, with the provision that no more than one dashed bond is double, and no two adjacent bonds in the structures are double at the searce time.

wherein the emoust of the consponed is relevated such that the compound principles is confing sensory effect when the composition is based, smalled, applied to the skin of a naminal, or applied to an internal epitiations of a mammal. Art Unit: 1612

Group II, claim 4 (in part)-5 (in part), drawn to a composition comprising a base material and the combination of two compounds; the combination of the two

Page 3

compounds either being (A) (7), and (8), ; or (B)

Group III, claim 13 (in part), drawn to a method of producing a cooling sensory effect in a mammal comprising (a) providing a composition according to claims 1-3, 4 (in part)-5 (in part), 6-12, drawn to a composition comprising a base material a compound of the formulas addressed above; and (b) allowing the mammal to sample the composition by tasting it, smelling it, applying it to the skin of the mammal, applying it to an inner epithelium of the mammal, or a combination thereof

Group IV, claim 13 (in part), drawn to a method of producing a cooling sensory effect in a mammal comprising (a) providing a composition according to claim 4

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/579,316

Art Unit: 1612

(in part)-5 (in part), drawn to a composition comprising a base material and the combination of two compounds; the combination of the two compounds either

and (b) allowing the mammal to sample the composition by tasting it, smelling it, applying it to the skin of the mammal, applying it to an inner epithelium of the mammal, or a combination thereof.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions listed as Groups I – IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

There is no technical feature linking Groups I - IV.

The scope for each group is different from the next as evidenced by the applicant's own claims as some require a single compound and some require a combination of compounds. Additionally, there are four separate formulas for the single compound and do not share a common core.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I – IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Art Unit: 1612

Accordingly, Groups I – IV are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

- a) Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single Group (I-IV)
- if Group I or III is elected,
  - i) a single specific compound formula (1), (2),(3), (4) is to be elected,
  - ii) and Applicant is further required to elect a single specific compound from the formula for examination where the chemical structure, chemical name, and generic/brand name (if applicable) of the compound is to be given for examination.
- c) if Group II or IV is elected,

Applicant is required to elected between the two combinations: (A)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single Group, formula (where applicable) and compound (or combinations where applicable) species as addressed above, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

Art Unit: 1612

held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claims are generic: For Group I, claim 1; for Group II, claim 4 or 5 based on the combination, for Group III/IV, claim 13 (in part) single compound/ (in part, combination).

#### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are

Art Unit: 1612

claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

# WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
  - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1612

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for reioinder.

Art Unit: 1612

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GIGI HUANG whose telephone number is (571)272-9073. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30AM-6:00PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,316 Page 10

Art Unit: 1612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GiGi Huang/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612